

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,639	04/02/2004	Kia Silverbrook	HYC011US	9562
24011	7590 04/21/2006		EXAMINER	
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD			LE, THIEN MINH	
393 DARLIN BALMAIN,	G STREET NSW 2041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALIA			2876	

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055 4 - 1 0	10/815,639	SILVERBROOK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thien M. Le	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· ·	 action is non-final.	·				
· · · · <u>- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</u>	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		*				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	, '				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			-			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under do 0.0.0. § 115/a)-(u) or (i).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau		· ·	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∍d.				
			J.			
Attachment(s)	•					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
I) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

The information disclosure statements filed on 10/7/2004 and 10/18/2004 have been entered.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-52 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application No. U.S. Patent No. 10/815,630 (herein after referred to as 'the '630 application'). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they essentially recite the same limitations.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim 1 is rejected in view of claim 44 of the '630 application in that it recites:

A method according to claim 1, for validating entry to a competition via interaction of a sensing device with a printed competition entry form comprising coded data indicative of a unique product identifier, the method comprising the steps, performed in the computer system, of:

receiving, from the sensing device, the product identifier and interaction data representing interaction of the sensing device with the coded data, the interaction data including at least the unique product identifier and enabling a competition entry to be electronically captured in the computer system; and

transmitting the product identifier and the competition entry to a competition administrator for validation of the competition entry at the competition administrator by verification of the product identifier.

Though the claim languages are not identical, claim 44 of the '630 recites the same limitations.

Similarly,

Claim 31 is rejected in view of claims 1 and 2 of the '630 application in that they recite:

1. A method of enabling anonymous entry to a competition via a printed competition entry form that includes machine-readable coded data, the method including the steps, performed in a computer system, of:

receiving interaction data representing interaction of a sensing device with the coded data, the interaction data enabling the competition entry to be electronically captured in the computer system;

assigning a competition alias ID to the competition entry; and transmitting the competition entry to a competition administrator with the competition alias ID, thereby enabling the anonymous entry to the competition.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the form is disposed on a product label including human-readable information relating to the competition, and

the coded -data is indicative of at least an identity of the label, wherein the interaction data includes at least the identity of the label.

As can be seen, claims 1 and 2 of the '630 essentially reciting the same limitations of claim 31.

Similarly,

Claim 2 is rejected in view of claim 44 and claim 2 of the '630 application.

Claim 3 is rejected in view of claim 44 and claim 5 of the '630 application.

Claim 4 is rejected in view of claim 44 and claim 7 of the '630 application.

Claim 5 is rejected in view of claim 44 and claim 13 of the '630 application.

Regarding claims 6-30 and 32-52, the limitations of these claims are merely the various combinations of the limitations recited in the claimed invention of the '630 application.

Claims 1-52 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application No. U.S. Patent No. 10/815,612 (herein after referred to as 'the '612 application'). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they essentially recite the same limitations.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim 1 is rejected in view of claim 44 of the '612 application in that it recites:

45. A method according to claim 1, for validating entry to a competition via interaction of a sensing device with a printed competition

Application/Control Number: 10/815,639

Art Unit: 2876

entry form comprising coded data indicative of a unique product identifier, the method comprising the steps, performed in the computer system, of:

receiving, from the sensing device, the product identifier and interaction data representing interaction of the sensing device with the coded data, the interaction data including at least the unique product identifier and enabling a competition entry to be electronically captured in the computer system; and

transmitting the product identifier and the competition entry to a competition administrator for validation of the competition entry at the competition administrator by verification of the product identifier.

Though the claim languages are not identical, claim 44 of the '612 recites the same limitations.

Claim 31 is rejected in view of claims 1 and 2 of the '612 application in that they recite:

1. A product label for enabling entry to a competition, the product label comprising:

machine-readable coded data indicative of at least an identity of the label, said machine-readable coded data being readable by a sensing device as the sensing device is moved across the product label, thereby to produce interaction data for enabling the competition entry;

human-readable information pertaining to the competition, the human-readable information being at least partially coincident with the machine-readable coded data, the human-readable information including at least one field element that has a corresponding zone defined in relation to it in a page description stored in a remote computer system.

2. The product label of claim 1, wherein the at least one field element includes at least one information field.

Similarly, claims 1-30 and 32-52 are rejected in view of the claims of the '612 application since they are reciting various combinations of the claimed invention of the '612 application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T T. T whose telephone number is 571-217-2111. The examiner can normally be reached on 571-272-2111.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T.T. T can be reached on 571-272-1111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Le, Thien Minh Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876 April 13, 2006